

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,030	04/19/2004	Joseph M. Torgerson	200210152-1	2745
22879	7590 12/08/2006		EXAM	INER
	PACKARD COMPAN	MARTIN, LAURA E		
	2400, 3404 E. HARMONY FUAL PROPERTY ADMI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			2853	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/827,030	TORGERSON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Laura E. Martin	2853		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. E timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	September 2006.			
	is action is non-final.			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	·			
Disposition of Claims	•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-50,56 and 57</i> is/are pending in the	application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-34,36,56 and 57</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20, 35, and 37-50</u> are subject to re	estriction and/or election require	ment.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		e Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applic	ation No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage		
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rece	ived.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		al Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/827,030

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This restriction is based on the previously elected claims 1-20, 35, and 37-50.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I, drawn to a reference conductor being disposed over a portion of the drive switches; and

Species II, drawn to a reference conductor being disposed along the sides or edges of the slot. The species are independent or distinct because the reference conductor is held at different locations, which leads to a burdensome search.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/827,030

Art Unit: 2853

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 2853

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura E. Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura E. Martin

MANISH S. SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER